MNNNEUA083FGR 140

PP RUEPOB

DE RUDSIS 593M

ZNR

P 230722Z

TH USIS LONDON

TO USINFO WASHC

STATE GRNC

HI.

UNCLAS 230722Z FOR IPS AND PASS TO WHITE HOUSE AND VOA
HEREWITH EDIT FROMGUARDIAN OF 23RD TITLED OT CARIBBEAN STORM
WARNING UNOT TEXT.....

CARIBBEAN STORM WARNING

THE UNITED STATES HAS ACTED DRASTICALLY AGAINST CUBA THOUGH
NOT TO THE EXTENT OF INVASION OR OF A TOTAL BLOCKADE. IT INTENDS
TO STOP THE SHIPMENT OF ALL "OFFENSIV" EQUIPMENT, AND FOR THAT
PURPOSE A STRICT 17-4-,58,3" IS TO BE ENFORCD BY THE UNITED
STATES FORCES. PRESIDENT KENNEDY'S REASON IS THAT "UNMISTAKABLE
EVIDENCE" SHOWS THAT OFFENSIVE MISSILE SITES AR BEING PREPARD
ON THE ISLAND. FROM THESE, HE SAYS, NUCLEAR WARHEADS COULD
BE FIRED AT WASHINGTON, THE PANAMA CANAL, AND OTHER TARGETS IN
THE WESTERN HEMISPHERE.

PAGE TWO RUDSIS

WILL THIS COULSE BE EFFECTIVE IN THE CARIBBEAN? WHAT WILL BE THE REPURCUSSIONS IN EUROPE? CAN THE AMERICANS JUSTIFY THEIR ACTION FEFORE THE UNITED NATIONS?

IN THE CARIBBAN THE US NAVY CAN QUICKLY ESTABLISH FULL CONTROL
OVR SEA MOVEMENT. BUT IT CANNOT SO EASILY CONTROL AIR MOVEMENT.,
AND IT MAY FIND ITSELF FACED WITH ARMED SOVIETCONVOYS. THERE IS,
INDEED, A PARALLEL WITH BERLIN IN REVERSE. NO ATTEMPT WAS EVER MADE
TO RUN ARMED CONVOYS THROUGH TO WEST BERLIN WHEN THE RUSSIAN

956-\$3 OF THE CITY WAS IMPOSED, BECAUSE THE ALIERNALIVE OF AIR TRANSPORT EXISTED.

ESTABLISH AN AIR BRIDGE TO CUBA THEY CAN DO SO. THEY WILL HAVE SOME DIFFICULTY WITH ROUTES AND THEY WILL HAVE TO USE THEIR AIRCRAFT WITH THE LONGEST RANGES. BUT, JUST AS THE AMERICAN AND BRITISH AIRCRAFT GROING TO BERLIN WERE NOT SHOT DOWN THEN BY THE RUSSIANS, SO THE UNITED STATES WILL HESITATE TO SHOOT NOW.

SO TOO THE RUSSIANS WILL PROBABLY HESITATE TO SEND SEA CONVOYS, FULLY ARMED WITH SOVIET NAVAL ESCORTS. THAT WOUL BRING THE RISK ON A SEA BATTLE - AND OF DEFEAT OF THE SOVIET ESCORTS, UNLESS THEY WERE TO RESORT TO NUCLEAR WEAPONS. WHATEVER THE VALUE OF CUBA TO RUSSIA, MR. KHRUSHCHEV IS NOT LIKELY TO LET THE STAKES AMOUNT SO HIGH. MR. KENNEDY MUST BE BANKING ON THAT. IT IS ODD, INDEED, THAT THE RUSSIANS SHOULD CONTEMPLATE PUTTING MISSILE BASES BA. ALREADY THEY CAN REACH ANY TARGET INTHE UNITED STATES FROM BASES IN RUSSIAN, OR SO MR. KHRUSHCHEV SAYS. WHY PUT BASES IN SUCH A VULNRABLE SPOT AS CUBA? THRE IS PERHAPS SOME ADVANTAGE IN SHORTENING THE WARNING TIME, BUT THAT IS NOTENOUGH TO MAKE THE LYISK AND TROUBLE WORTH WHILE.

CONCEIVABLY, IF MR. KHRUSHCHEV REALLY HAS BEGUN TO GUILD OFFENSIVE MISSILE BASES IN CUBA, HE HAS DONE SO PRIMARILY TO DEMONSTRATE

10 THE UNITED STATES ANDTHE WORL
THE MEANING OF AMERICAN

PAG THREE RUDSIS 593M

BASES CLOSE TO SOVIET TERRITORY. TURKEY, AFTER ALL, HAS A COMMON FRONTIER WITH THE SOVIT UNION. THE AMERICANS HAV BASES IN TURKEY, AND HAVE HAD THEM FOR YEARS. FOR JUST AS LONG THE RUSSIANS HAV.

COMPLAINED BITTERLY ABOUT THEM, BUTTHEY HAVE NOT TAKEN DIRECT
ACTION. MR. KHRUSHCHEV MAY CALCULATE -- WITH SOME REASON -THAT THE EFFECT OF WHAT HE DOES IN CUBA WILL BE TO WEAKEN

AMERICA'S MILITARY FOOTHOLD IN WESTERN EUROP. WHAT IS SAUC

FOR CUBA IS SAUC ALSONOR TURK, BERLIN, AND OTHER PLACEJ. THE EFFECTS OF PRESIDENT KENNEDY'S ACTION MAY WELL BE FELT LONG AFTER THE AMERICAN ELECTIONS NEXT MONTH.

IN THE UNITED NATIONS THE AMERICANS HAVE TAKEN THE INITIATIVE
THEMSELVES. IN THIS AT LEAST, AND IN THEIR CONSULTATION WITH OTHER
© VERNMENTS BEFOREHAND, THEY HAV ACTED WISELY. BUT EVEN A LIMITED
MILITARY ACTION WILL BE HARD TO JUSTIFY. IN THE END THE UNITED
STATES MAY FIND THAT IT HAS DONE ITS CAUSE, ITS FRIENDS,
AND ITS OWN TRUE INTERESTS LITTLE GOOD. ITEM USINFO LONDON

EL

23/08582

. 5

MINN